

Flag-transitive 3-design from the action of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$ on the projective line

Akihiro Munemasa

Tohoku University

G2C2, Hebei Normal University,
August 16, 2024

The action of $\text{PSL}(2, q)$ on $\text{PG}(1, q)$

The **projective special linear group** $\text{PSL}(2, q)$ acts as linear fractional transformations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} : z \mapsto \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \quad (z \in \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}),$$

where $ad - bc = 1$.

The purpose of this talk is to give a family of **nice** orbits consisting of $(q - 1)/e$ -element subset forming **3-designs**.

More precisely,

- e is a positive integer with $e \geq 2$,
- q is a prime power with $q \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$,
- a representative for the orbit is the set of e -th powers in \mathbb{F}_q^\times .
- ... (**additional conditions**).

t -Designs

Let Ω be a finite set, and denote by $\binom{\Omega}{k}$ the family of k -element subsets of Ω .

Definition

A pair (Ω, \mathcal{B}) is called a t -design if $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \binom{\Omega}{k}$ and, any t points of Ω is contained in a **constant number** of members of \mathcal{B} .

To avoid triviality, we assume $|\Omega| > k > t > 0$. Members of \mathcal{B} are often called **blocks**.

The **constant number** in the definition is usually denoted by λ , and we say \mathcal{B} is a t - (v, k, λ) design, where $v = |\Omega|$.

Definition

A permutation group G on a finite set Ω is said to be **t -transitive** if G acts transitively on the set of **ordered** t -tuples of distinct elements of Ω .

Definition

A permutation group G is said to be **t -homogeneous** if G acts transitively on $\binom{\Omega}{t}$ (of **unordered** t -tuples, i.e., t -element subsets).

Clearly, t -transitive $\implies t$ -homogeneous.

If G is a **t -homogeneous** permutation group on Ω , and $B \in \binom{\Omega}{k}$ with $|\Omega| > k > t$, then $(\Omega, G \cdot B)$ is a **t -design**, where $G \cdot B$ is the orbit of B under G ,

If the set of blocks \mathcal{B} is of the form $G \cdot B$, then the design (Ω, \mathcal{B}) is called **block-transitive**, and a representative B is called a **starter** of the design (Ω, \mathcal{B}) under G .

$\text{PGL}(2, q)$ acting on $\mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}$

$\text{PGL}(2, q)$ acts on $\mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}$ in terms of linear fractional transformation

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} : z \mapsto \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \quad (z \in \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}).$$

This action is **3-transitive**: $(\infty, 0, 1) \mapsto$ any triple of distinct elements of $\mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}$.

Any $B \in \binom{\mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}}{k}$, $k > 3$, is a starter of a block-transitive design under $\text{PGL}(2, q)$.

How about **$\text{PSL}(2, q)$** ?

$\mathrm{PGL}(2, q) \supseteq \mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$

- $\mathrm{PGL}(2, q)$ is 3-transitive, hence 3-homogeneous on $\mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}$.
- If $q = 2^m$, then $\mathrm{PSL}(2, q) = \mathrm{PGL}(2, q)$ is 3-transitive and hence 3-homogeneous.

If q is odd, then $|\mathrm{PGL}(2, q) : \mathrm{PSL}(2, q)| = 2$.

- If $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, then $\mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$ is 3-homogeneous.
- If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $\mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$ is not 3-homogeneous.

$$q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

Since $\text{PGL}(2, q) \supsetneq \text{PSL}(2, q)$,

$$\text{PGL}(2, q) \cdot B \supsetneq \text{PSL}(2, q) \cdot B \quad \text{for } B \in \binom{\mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}}{k}.$$

It can happen that

$$\text{PGL}(2, q) \cdot B = \text{PSL}(2, q) \cdot B$$

for some B , and in this case, $\text{PGL}(2, q) \cdot B = \text{PSL}(2, q) \cdot B$ is a 3-design.

The converse, however,

$$\text{PSL}(2, q) \cdot B \text{ is a 3-design} \implies \text{PGL}(2, q) \cdot B = \text{PSL}(2, q) \cdot B$$

is **not true**.

Bonnecaze and Solé (2021)

“The **extended** binary **quadratic residue** code of length **42** holds a **3**-design.” (Neither transitivity nor Assmus–Mattson theorem can provide a reason)

Consider $\chi: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \{0, \pm 1\}$ defined by

$$\chi(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } a \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\times)^2, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(known as the Legendre symbol, quadratic residue character).

Let $q = 41$. The linear span over \mathbb{F}_2 of the rows of the $q \times q$ matrix

$$\frac{1}{2}((\chi(a - b) + 1)_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_q} - I)$$

is the binary quadratic residue code of length **41**, denoted QR_{41} .

Bonnecaze and Solé (2021)

Then $QR_{41} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^{41}$, $\dim QR_{41} = 21$.

The **extended** binary quadratic residue code XQR_{42} of length **42** is obtained from QR_{41} by adding the “parity check coordinate.”

Then $XQR_{42} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^{42}$, $\dim XQR_{42} = 21$.

For $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$,

$$\text{supp}(x) = \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, x_i = 1\},$$

$$\text{wt}(x) = |\text{supp}(x)|.$$

Let

$$\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, 42\},$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\text{supp}(x) \mid x \in XQR_{42}, \text{wt}(x) = 10\}.$$

Then (Ω, \mathcal{B}) is a 3-(42, 10, 18) design (verified by computer). **WHY?**

Let

$$\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, 42\},$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\text{supp}(x) \mid x \in XQR_{42}, \text{wt}(x) = k\}.$$

Then (Ω, \mathcal{B}) is a 3-design only if $k = 10, 32$ (verified by computer).

$\text{Aut } XQR_{42} = \text{PSL}(2, 41)$ acts block-transitively.

Let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \{\text{supp}(x) \mid x \in XQR_{42} \cup XQR_{42}^{\perp}, \text{wt}(x) = 10\}.$$

Then $(\Omega, \tilde{\mathcal{B}})$ is a 3-design (this fact can be theoretically generalized, but $|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}| = 2|\mathcal{B}|$. In fact, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is a $\text{PGL}(2, 41)$ -orbit.)

Let

$$\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, 42\},$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\text{supp}(x) \mid x \in XQR_{42}, \text{wt}(x) = 10\}.$$

Then (Ω, \mathcal{B}) is a 3-design (Bonnecaze and Solé, 2021).

$\text{Aut } XQR_{42} = \text{PSL}(2, 41)$ acts block-transitively.

$$\mathcal{B} = \text{PSL}(2, 41) \cdot B \subsetneq \text{PGL}(2, 41) \cdot B.$$

In fact, we may identify Ω with $\mathbb{F}_{41} \cup \{\infty\}$, and

$$B = \{1, \beta, \beta^2, \dots, \beta^9\},$$

where β is a primitive 10-th root of 1 in \mathbb{F}_{41} . Equivalently, B is the set of quartic (4th power) residues in \mathbb{F}_{41} , i.e.,

$$B = \langle \beta \rangle, \quad \mathbb{F}_{41}^\times = \langle \alpha \rangle, \quad \beta = \alpha^4.$$

$G = \text{PSL}(2, q), q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

For some choice of B , $(\mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}, G \cdot B)$ can happen to be a 3-design.

Theorem (Keränen–Kreher–Shiue, 2003)

Suppose $q \equiv 5$ or $13 \pmod{24}$. Let $B = \{\infty, 0, 1, -1\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}$. Then B is a starter of a block-transitive 3 - $(q + 1, 4, 3)$ design under G .

Theorem (Li–Deng–Zhang, 2018)

Suppose $q \equiv 1 \pmod{20}$. Let $B = \langle \alpha^{(q-1)/5} \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^\times = \langle \alpha \rangle$. Then B is a starter of a block-transitive 3 - $(q + 1, 5, 3)$ design under G , if and only if there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ such that $\chi(\theta) = -1$ and $\theta^2 - 4\theta - 1 = 0$.

No systematic work on $B \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}$ with $|B| > 5$.

Power residues in \mathbb{F}_q^\times

Let q be a prime power with $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and let $e|q-1$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{F}_q^\times &= \langle \alpha \rangle, \\ B &= \langle \alpha^e \rangle, \\ G &= \text{PSL}(2, q).\end{aligned}$$

Regarding $B \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}$, when is $(\mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}, G \cdot B)$ a 3-design?

- Bonnecaze–Solé, 2021: $q = 41$, $e = 4$.
- Li–Deng–Zhang, 2018: $q \equiv 1 \pmod{20}$, $e = (q-1)/5$, under **some condition** (which is satisfied for $q = 41$).

$\text{PSL}(2, q)$ is not 3-homogeneous, but...

Assume $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

There are only **two** orbits on $\binom{\mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}}{3}$ under $\text{PSL}(2, q)$, with representatives

$$\{\infty, 0, 1\}, \{\infty, 0, \alpha\},$$

where $\mathbb{F}_q^\times = \langle \alpha \rangle$.

A $\text{PSL}(2, q)$ -orbit $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \binom{\mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}}{k}$ is the set of blocks of a 3-design if and only if

$$|\{B \in \mathcal{B} \mid \{\infty, 0, 1\} \subseteq B\}| = |\{B \in \mathcal{B} \mid \{\infty, 0, \alpha\} \subseteq B\}|.$$

Further simplification is as follows.

Let $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $G = \text{PSL}(2, q)$. Then

$$\binom{\mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}}{3} = \mathcal{O}_+ \cup \mathcal{O}_- \quad (\text{disjoint}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{O}_+ = G \cdot \{\infty, 0, 1\}, \quad \mathcal{O}_- = G \cdot \{\infty, 0, \alpha\}.$$

Lemma (Tonchev, 1988)

Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}$ with $|B| > 3$. Then B is a starter of a block-transitive 3-design under G if and only if

$$\left| \binom{B}{3} \cap \mathcal{O}_+ \right| = \left| \binom{B}{3} \cap \mathcal{O}_- \right|.$$

Moreover

$$\binom{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}{3} \cap \mathcal{O}_\pm = \{\{a, b, c\} \mid \chi((a-b)(b-c)(c-a)) = \pm 1\}.$$

Theorem (Bonnecaze–Solé, 2021, reformulated)

Let $q = 41$, $G = \text{PSL}(2, q)$. Let $B = \langle \alpha^4 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^\times = \langle \alpha \rangle$. Then B is a starter of a block-transitive 3-design under G .

The proof amounts to showing

$$\left| \binom{B}{3} \cap \mathcal{O}_+ \right| = \left| \binom{B}{3} \cap \mathcal{O}_- \right|.$$

This can be verified directly (by **hand**, not by computer):

$$B = \langle 6^4 \rangle = \{1, 25, 10, 4, 18, 40, 16, 31, 37, 23\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{41}^\times = \langle 6 \rangle.$$

$\{1, 25, 10\} \in \mathcal{O}_+$ since $\chi((1 - 25)(25 - 10)(10 - 1)) = 1$,

$\{1, 25, 4\} \in \mathcal{O}_+$ since $\chi((1 - 25)(25 - 4)(4 - 1)) = 1$,

and so on: $\binom{10}{3} = \mathbf{120 \text{ times}}$.

Theorem (Bonnecaze–Solé, 2021, reformulated)

Let $q = 41$, $G = \text{PSL}(2, q)$. Let $B = \langle \alpha^4 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^\times = \langle \alpha \rangle$. Then B is a starter of a block-transitive 3-design under G .

Bonnecaze–Solé did not cite:

Theorem (Li–Deng–Zhang, 2018)

Suppose $q \equiv 1 \pmod{20}$. Let $B = \langle \alpha^{(q-1)/5} \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^\times = \langle \alpha \rangle$. Then B is a starter of a block-transitive $3-(q+1, 5, 3)$ design under $\text{PSL}(2, q)$, if and only if there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ such that $\chi(\theta) = -1$ and $\theta^2 - 4\theta - 1 = 0$.

For $q = 41$,

the former theorem says $B = \langle \alpha^4 \rangle$ is a starter of size $|B| = 10$,

the latter theorem says $B = \langle \alpha^8 \rangle$ is a starter of size $|B| = 5$.

Which **prime** power q satisfies the condition of Li–Deng–Zhang?

The sequence of **primes**

$$41, 61, 241, 281, 421, 601, 641, \dots$$

satisfying the condition of Li–Deng–Zhang:

$$\exists \theta \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times, \chi(\theta) = -1, \theta^2 - 4\theta - 1 = 0 \quad (\text{LDZ})$$

coincide with **OEIS A325072**: Prime numbers $p \equiv 1 \pmod{20}$ with

$$p \neq x^2 + 20y^2, x^2 + 100y^2.$$

Theorem

Let q be a prime power with $q \equiv 1 \pmod{20}$, let $\mathbb{F}_q^\times = \langle \alpha \rangle$ and $\beta = \alpha^{(q-1)/10}$. Let χ denote the quadratic residue character of \mathbb{F}_q^\times . Then the following are equivalent:

- (BS) $B = \langle \beta \rangle$ is a starter of a 3-design under $\text{PSL}(2, q)$.
- (LDZ1) $B = \langle \beta^2 \rangle$ is a starter of a 3-design under $\text{PSL}(2, q)$.
- (LDZ2) $\exists \theta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ such that $\chi(\theta) = -1$ and $\theta^2 - 4\theta - 1 = 0$.
- (M) $\chi(\beta - 1) = -1$.
- (OEIS) q is an odd power of a prime which cannot be represented in the form $x^2 + 20y^2$ or $x^2 + 100y^2$, where x, y are positive integers.

Moreover, if one of the equivalent conditions is satisfied, then $B = \langle \beta \rangle$ is a starter of a **flag-transitive** 3-design under $\text{PSL}(2, q)$.

flag-transitive \iff block-transitive & block stabilizer is transitive on the set of points of the block.

OEIS A325072 : 41, 61, 241, 281, 421, ...

This is the sequence of primes p with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{20}$ satisfying one of the following equivalent conditions:

(LDZ2) $\exists \theta \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ such that $\chi(\theta) = -1$ and $\theta^2 - 4\theta - 1 = 0$.

(M) $\chi(\beta - 1) = -1$, where $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_p$ is a primitive 10-th root of 1.

(OEIS1) p cannot be represented in the form $x^2 + 20y^2$.

(OEIS2) p cannot be represented in the form $x^2 + 100y^2$.

(H) 5 is not a quartic residue in \mathbb{F}_p .

Brink (2009) showed (OEIS1) \iff (OEIS2).

Hasse (1930) showed (OEIS2) \iff (H).

Showing (LDZ2) \iff (M) \iff (H) is elementary.

Let q be a prime power with $q \equiv 1 \pmod{20}$, let $\mathbb{F}_q^\times = \langle \alpha \rangle$ and $\beta = \alpha^{(q-1)/10}$. Let χ denote the quadratic residue character of \mathbb{F}_q^\times .

$$(M) \quad \chi(\beta - 1) = -1.$$

(BS) $B = \langle \beta \rangle$ is a starter of a 3-design under $\text{PSL}(2, q)$.

Sketch of Proof (M) \implies (BS).

We need to show

$$\left| \binom{B}{3} \cap \mathcal{O}_+ \right| = 60 = \left| \binom{B}{3} \cap \mathcal{O}_- \right|,$$

where $B = \{1, \beta, \beta^2, \dots, \beta^9\}$. Recall

$$\binom{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}{3} \cap \mathcal{O}_\pm = \{\{a, b, c\} \mid \chi((a-b)(b-c)(c-a)) = \pm 1\}.$$

$B = \{1, \beta, \beta^2, \dots, \beta^9\}$, where $\beta = \alpha^{(q-1)/10}$. We need to show

$$\left| \binom{B}{3} \cap \mathcal{O}_+ \right| = 60 = \left| \binom{B}{3} \cap \mathcal{O}_- \right|.$$

Write

$$\chi(T) = \chi((a-b)(b-c)(c-a)) \quad (T = \{a, b, c\} \in \binom{B}{3}).$$

Then for $T \in \binom{B}{3}$,

$$T \in \mathcal{O}_+ \iff \chi(T) = +1.$$

Again $\binom{|B|}{3} = 120$ times? No, since $(q-1)/10$ is even, we have $\chi(\beta) = 1$. This means

$$\chi(\beta T) = \chi(\beta)^3 \chi(T) = \chi(T),$$

so $\chi(T)$ is constant on each orbit of $\langle \beta \rangle$.

Enough to do it for **12** times only.

$$\left| \binom{B}{3} \cap \mathcal{O}_+ \right| = 60 = \left| \binom{B}{3} \cap \mathcal{O}_- \right|.$$

$\binom{B}{3}$ is decomposed into 12 orbits under $\langle \beta \rangle$:

$T_1 = \langle \beta \rangle \cdot \{1, \beta, \beta^2\}$	$T_7 = \langle \beta \rangle \cdot \{1, \beta, \beta^6\}$
$T_2 = \langle \beta \rangle \cdot \{1, \beta, \beta^3\}$	$T_8 = \langle \beta \rangle \cdot \{1, \beta^2, \beta^4\}$
$T_3 = \langle \beta \rangle \cdot \{1, \beta^2, \beta^3\}$	$T_9 = \langle \beta \rangle \cdot \{1, \beta^2, \beta^5\}$
$T_4 = \langle \beta \rangle \cdot \{1, \beta, \beta^4\}$	$T_{10} = \langle \beta \rangle \cdot \{1, \beta^3, \beta^5\}$
$T_5 = \langle \beta \rangle \cdot \{1, \beta^3, \beta^4\}$	$T_{11} = \langle \beta \rangle \cdot \{1, \beta^2, \beta^6\}$
$T_6 = \langle \beta \rangle \cdot \{1, \beta, \beta^5\}$	$T_{12} = \langle \beta \rangle \cdot \{1, \beta^3, \beta^6\}$

$$\chi(T_1) := \chi((1 - \beta)(\beta - \beta^2)(\beta^2 - 1)) = ?$$

$$\chi(T_2) := \chi((1 - \beta)(\beta - \beta^3)(\beta^3 - 1)) = ?$$

not computable each, but since $\beta^5 = -1$,

$$\frac{\chi(T_1)}{\chi(T_2)} = \frac{\chi((1 - \beta)(\beta - \beta^2)(\beta^2 - 1))}{\chi((1 - \beta)(\beta - \beta^3)(\beta^3 - 1))} = 1.$$

$T_1 = \langle \beta \rangle \cdot \{1, \beta, \beta^2\}$	\dots
$T_2 = \langle \beta \rangle \cdot \{1, \beta, \beta^3\}$	\dots
\dots	$T_{12} = \langle \beta \rangle \cdot \{1, \beta^3, \beta^6\}$

Since $\chi(T_1) = \chi(T_2)$, we have $T_1 \subseteq \mathcal{O}_+ \iff T_2 \subseteq \mathcal{O}_+$.

In fact, using (M): $\chi(\beta - 1) = -1$, **one of** the following holds:

$$\bigcup_{i \in \{1,2,3,6,7,11\}} T_i \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\pm \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{i \in \{4,5,8,9,10,12\}} T_i \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\mp, \quad \text{or}$$

$$\bigcup_{i \in \{1,2,3,9,10,11\}} T_i \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\pm \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{i \in \{4,5,6,7,8,12\}} T_i \subseteq \mathcal{O}_\mp,$$

In both cases,

$$\left| \binom{B}{3} \cap \mathcal{O}_+ \right| = 60 = \left| \binom{B}{3} \cap \mathcal{O}_- \right|.$$

This completes the proof of (M) \implies (BS).

Conclusion

Theorem (Bonnecaze–Solé, 2021, reformulated)

Let $q = 41$, $G = \text{PSL}(2, q)$. Let $B = \langle \alpha^4 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^\times = \langle \alpha \rangle$. Then B is a starter of a block-transitive 3-design under G .

is generalized.

The prime power q can be an odd power of a prime in OEIS A325072 (and taking $B = \langle \alpha^{(q-1)/10} \rangle$).

Thank you very much for your attention!